Medicaid makeover

Premium on quality care, cost savings as states prepare for loss of federal funds and expansion of health program

by Kate Tormey (ktormey@csg.org)

Last month, lawmakers in Illinois approved what many are calling landmark reforms to public health programs in the state.

Among the reforms were significant changes to the state’s health program for children, All Kids, and the general Medicaid program.

Sen. Heather Steans says the main goal of the legislature’s recent actions was simple: address the rising cost of Medicaid at a time when the state faces a $16 billion budget shortfall.

“Medicaid is a third of our budget,” says Steans, a Democrat. “Costs have been rising in Illinois as they have in the rest of the country. We cannot handle our enormous budget deficit without addressing Medicaid costs.”

HB 5420, signed into law in January, includes several cost-saving strategies: cutting down on fraud, addressing the cost of prescription drugs, implementing technological advances to streamline administration, encouraging the use of home- and community-based care and requiring more patients to take part in managed-care plans.

Overall, the legislation is expected to save Illinois at least $800 million over four years, says Steans, who helped lead the legislative effort. The bill passed both chambers of the legislature with near-unanimous support.

Illinois is not alone in its mission to rein in the cost of Medicaid, which is the second-largest budget item in most states’ general funds.

“States’ first priority has to be finding a way to get through this fiscal crisis and how Medicaid can be part of the state solution,” says Vern Smith, managing principal with Health Management Associates, a firm that works with and advises states on health policy. Smith previously served as Michigan’s Medicaid director.

States are particularly concerned about the cost of the program as they look ahead to June, when enhanced Medicaid matching funds from the federal government are set to expire. According to Smith, the state cost of Medicaid will increase by about 25 percent in fiscal year 2012 — before accounting for any growth in caseloads or increases in benefits, eligibility or provider reimbursement rates.

“The enhanced funding has been in place for three fiscal years and has become part of the [base budget for states],” he says. “Many state officials who have to make these decisions weren’t even there in 2008. This is clearly a huge increase in the cost of the program.”

What makes the issue even more pressing is another number: 2014. That is the year when the federal government will require all states to expand their Medicaid programs to all residents earning less than 133 percent of the federal poverty level.

“This will mean that in each state, thousands of people will become newly eligible for the health program (see table on page 6). In preparation for the expansion, states are looking at ways to make their programs more cost-effective. In this article, we look at the recent actions taken by Midwestern states.

Popular strategies tapped out

In terms of cost containment, most states have used one of two tools to quickly reduce Medicaid costs in a measurable way: restricting eligibility for the program and cutting reimbursement rates to providers.
The first method isn’t currently available because in order to receive enhanced federal Medicaid funding, states must agree to a “maintenance of effort” provision. In other words, they cannot change eligibility criteria in order to trim Medicaid rolls. But states can reduce the amount they pay to medical providers who participate in the program.

“The most prevalent cost-containment strategy being used by states in the past few years has been a reduction in provider rates,” Smith says. “It’s the one strategy that will ensure cost savings.”

According to the Kaiser Family Foundation, 37 states reduced reimbursement rates in FY 11, including Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Ohio, South Dakota and Wisconsin.

Smith points out that all of the “easy” decisions — and even some of the difficult choices — already have been made by states.

So what options do states have to further reduce costs in their Medicaid programs?

Smith says that the next steps being taken by states are geared toward getting the most out of every dollar spent on Medicaid through options such as streamlining administration, improving quality of care, reforming how providers are paid and better managing patient care.

“States are looking at what they can do to get better value and what they can do to improve quality,” Smith says.

**Improving program administration**

Ne criticism of health plans is often the amount of money spent on non-medical purposes, such as administration. In fact, under new federal rules, private insurance companies are now required to spend at least 80 to 85 percent of premium dollars on health care and quality improvement.

According to Smith, Medicaid is already much more efficient than that, with a ‘medical loss ratio’ (the percentage spent on non-medical costs) of just 2 to 5 percent.

Still, he says states are working to make administering the program more efficient — and one of the ways to accomplish this goal is through the use of technology.

For example, Wisconsin is considered a model in this region for its online application system (access.wisconsin.gov) that allows users to apply for a number of different services — such as health coverage, food stamps, child care and other assistance. (The state was the recipient of a CSG Innovations Award in 2010 for its reforms.) State officials say the system has improved data accuracy and decreased the staff time required to process applications.

The Medicaid reform legislation approved last month in Illinois has a provision aimed at helping state agencies coordinate applications and eligibility review. Under the law, state agencies such as the secretary of state’s office and Department of Revenue will share information with the Department of Healthcare and Family Services on eligibility factors such as residency and income.

One of the other measures included in the law will allow more administrative and financially flexible in the state’s long-term-care system, with a goal of relying more on home- and community-based care and less on institutional care. The former is less expensive for the state, and studies also show a greater level of satisfaction among patients and families when services can be delivered at home or in the community.

Another goal of the Illinois law is to reduce fraud and the unnecessary spending it causes.

For example, public health programs will now require proof of residency in order to participate. Sen. Steans, who helped lead the legislative effort, says this provision was put in place after policymakers heard anecdotal evidence of out-of-state residents receiving benefits. The state will also have additional authority to prosecute cases of fraud in the Medicaid program. Prior to the new law, the state could only seek criminal prosecution of people who attempt to receive services to which they are not entitled, and those cases were rarely pursued. The state now has the ability to launch civil cases in response to allegations of fraud, Steans says.

“We are trying to make sure we are really cleaning up the way this program is being run and providing services to people who are truly eligible,” Steans says.

In addition, the program will now more carefully scrutinize income eligibility for the program; for example, an entire month’s worth of reported income will now be required (the program previously required one pay stub). Eligibility for the All Kids program will be limited to families earning less than 300 percent of the federal poverty level. About 3,000 children are expected to become ineligible for the program under the new rules, Steans says; those families will be given a year to find other health coverage.

New rules will also require yearly renewal. Prior to the law’s passage, recipients were automatically re-enrolled in the program through “passive renewal.” Steans says the new protocol will help make sure people are still eligible for the program before they are cleared to receive benefits.

Iowa is another state in the region working to root out fraud in the Medicaid system. Last year, the state hired a private firm to examine the program and find inaccuracies or fraudulent activities. The project is expected to save at least $20 million per year in fraudulent payments.

**Use of managed care**

Another trend in state health care systems is the increased use of managed-care plans. Managed care is an arrangement in which states hire a private insurance company to oversee the various aspects of health care in programs.

“Managed care has come to be the go-to delivery system for Medicaid,” says Smith.

Usually the state pays a flat per enrollee, and the health plan is responsible for handling costs associated with that patient’s care.

One of the reasons managed care has become so popular is its predictability in terms of cost. States know upfront how much it will contribute to each enrollee’s care, and the risk of additional cost is passed on to the insurer.

In addition, the health plan takes on the responsibility for improving quality and keeping costs down.

“If managed care is incenting providers properly, it should be able to continuously bring down costs,” says Minnesota Democratic Sen. Linda Berglin, a longtime leader in health policy and ranking minority member of the Health and Human Services Committee.

The use of managed care has ramped up in the last decade; between 1999 and 2008, the number of Medicaid beneficiaries in managed care doubled (from 17.8 million to 33.4 million). Currently, about 70 percent of all Medicaid recipients are enrolled in a managed-care plan, according to the Kaiser Family Foundation.

That percentage, however, is much lower in Illinois. According to Steans, just 5 percent of the state’s 2.8 million beneficiaries are enrolled in managed care.

Under the bill signed into law earlier this year, half of all Medicaid beneficiaries will be enrolled in managed care by 2015.

Managed-care plans often assign a patient to a “medical home,” or a primary-care provider that can monitor all of a patient’s needs, such as health conditions, medications and needed screenings.

Minnesota’s 2008 health care reform legislation included a provision aimed at expanding the state’s use of medical homes. Under the program, which began in July, health care providers can apply to become certified health care homes. As of December, 47 providers had become state-certified health care homes and were serving about 80,000
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**Table: Additional enrollment and spending attributed to Medicaid expansion**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>631,024</td>
<td>199,259</td>
<td>1,202</td>
<td>+1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana</td>
<td>297,757</td>
<td>86,515</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>+2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>114,691</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>+1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas</td>
<td>143,485</td>
<td>5,177</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>+1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>589,965</td>
<td>114,252</td>
<td>886</td>
<td>+2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>253,783</td>
<td>7,836</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>+2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td>83,898</td>
<td>2,245</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>+1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Dakota</td>
<td>28,864</td>
<td>595</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>+1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td>667,376</td>
<td>177,130</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>+1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td>31,317</td>
<td>771</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>+1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>127,987</td>
<td>4,252</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>+0.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Kaiser Family Foundation
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**Graph: Medicaid spending growth over past decade**
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**Story ends here.**
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Changing how care is paid for

The use of medical-home payments is just one way Minnesota has changed how health
care is paid for. The state, in fact, has been a
national leader in the area of payment reform.
Lawmakers there decided that relying on managed
care alone was not enough. In order to further rein
in health care spending, Berglin and her colleagues
worked to address costs directly with providers.
"Just because you’re paying a [managed-care]
company a [set] payment doesn’t mean they are pass-
ing those incentives on to providers," she says. "You
can have managed-care contracts where plans are
still paying providers on a fee-for-service basis — and
you’re going to get fee-for-service results."

As part of the health care reform bill passed in
2008, Minnesota instituted the Quality Incentive
Payment System. In the first year of this pay-for-
performance program, quality was measured in five areas: diabetes care, vascular care, acute
heart attack, heart failure and pneumonia. Providers
participating in state health pro-
grams and in the
state employee health plan who
show quality performance and
improvement over time are rewarded with
enhanced payments.

Providers are also now permitted to charge
one fee for all of the services required to treat one
episode or illness. Proponents of this payment
model say it will rein in costs by encouraging doctors to provide the best care at the lowest
price — instead of the traditional "fee for service"
model that compensates providers for each patient
visit, test and procedure.

And Minnesota’s groundbreaking “peer group-
ing” initiative will soon help consumers access
information about how providers stack up in terms
of quality and cost.

"It will be online and used by companies,
health care plans and the public programs to help
determine where people are getting their care and
where the best, low-cost care could be provided,"
she says. She adds that the data, which will be
publicly available later this year, will help health
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Five ways to make the most of your membership in CSG
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Hone your leadership skills by participating in the Bowhay Institute for Legislative Leadership Development
(BILLD), the only leadership development program designed specifically for Midwestern state legislators. More information, including
the application, is available at www.csgmidwest.org.

Take advantage of our online resources. Visit our online Knowledge Center (knowledgecenter.csg.org), a comprehensive collection of state-by-state
data, policy briefs, articles and information on trends in state government. In addition, CSG Midwest sends out a number of electronic newsletters on
Topics of interest to state policymakers in this region. To subscribe, visit www.csgmidwest.org.

Read our publications to learn how other states are working to address issues. Each month, you will receive a copy of
Stateline Midwest, a collection of policy research and news from this region. In addition, you will receive Capitol Ideas,
our bimonthly national magazine that explores key topics being considered by state policymakers nationwide.

Call us for research assistance. Our goal is to help provide you with the information you need to reach your goals.
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